	Page 1
1	THITTE OF THE COLLDE OF TREET C
2	UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT
3	Case No. 11-10676-B
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
5	Plaintiff/Appellee,
6	vs.
7	SCOTT W. ROTHSTEIN,
8	Defendant,
9	and
10	HERBERT STETTIN, AS CHAPTER 11 TRUSTEE OF ROTHSTEIN ROSENFELDT
11	ADLER, P.A.,
12	Third-Party Petitioner/Appellant.
13	/
14	WEARING WELD DEFORE.
15	HEARING HELD BEFORE:
16	JUDGE GERALD B. TJOFLAT JUDGE BEVERLY B. MARTIN JUDGE SUSAN BUCKLEW
17	OODGE SOSAN DOCKLEW
18	United States Court of Appeals 99 NE 4th Street
19	Room 1212 Miami, Fl 33132
20	December 6, 2012 9:00 a.m.
21	J. O C. M.
22	
23	Transgribed from a CD By
24	Transcribed from a CD By: Cheryl L. Jenkins, RPR
25	

	Page 2
1	APPEARANCES:
	ALL DAMANODO.
2	BERGER SINGERMAN, by
3	SHARON L. KEGERRIES, Esquire
4	On behalf of Herbert Stettin, Chapter 11 Trustee
5	MADELEINE R. SHIRLEY, Esquire
5	and
6	EVELYN SHEEHAN, Esquire and
7	MICHELLE ALVAREZ, Esquire and
8	ALLISON LARE, Esquire
0	On behalf of the United States of America
9	
10	CARY A. LUBETSKY, Esquire On behalf of Todd D. Snyder
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

	Page 3
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: United States versus
2	Rothstein and others.
3	Ms. Kegerreis, is it?
4	MS. KEGERREIS: Kegerreis.
5	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Kegerreis.
6	We sent you sort of a belated the
7	parties' notes yesterday.
8	MS. KEGERREIS: Yes, your Honor.
9	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes. You're familiar with
10	bankruptcy law?
11	MS. KEGERREIS: I've had a crash course,
12	your Honor.
13	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay. Well, before there
14	was ever any forfeiture hearing, it wouldn't have made any
15	difference anyway.
16	The Chapter 11 proceeding commenced, and
17	you're familiar with the case. Everything that that law
18	firm had in the name of the law firm, is part of the
19	bankrupt estate, and nobody can sue without leave of the
20	Bankruptcy Court.
21	MS. KEGERREIS: We agree with that
22	proposition
23	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay.
24	MS. KEGERREIS: yes.
25	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay. So, and I speak for

11	Page 4
1	myself, my original thought is that nothing, zero that the
2	District Judge did in the criminal case has any legal
3	effect on the disposition of any funds.
4	MS. KEGERREIS: We agree with that
5	proposition absolutely, your Honor.
6	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And I don't know why in the
7	world that wasn't brought to the District Court's
8	attention at the beginning.
9	MS. KEGERREIS: Your Honor, the trustee
10	presented to the District Court that the Bankruptcy Courts
11	determine in the first instance whether these assets
12	belong to the bankruptcy estate.
13	We filed a motion asking the District Court
14	to refer the matter to the Bankruptcy Court
15	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well
16	MS. KEGERREIS: for resolution.
17	JUDGE TJOFLAT: as a matter of law they
18	all belong to the Bankruptcy Court. The Supreme Court and
19	11th Circuit case law, everything in the name of the law
20	firm, and even other things were assets of the estate.
21	MS. KEGERREIS: Yes, your Honor, under
22	541(a) it's all legal, equitable interest of the debtor.
23	JUDGE TJOFLAT: All right.
24	MS. KEGERREIS: And certainly RRA's
25	accounts, they were titled in the name of RRA.

	Page 5
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And there is a presumption
2	that everything in the name of the law firm is in the
3	estate.
4	MS. KEGERREIS: Yes.
5	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yeah.
6	MS. KEGERREIS: And all the funds in the law
7	firm's account are presumed to belong to the RRA law firm.
8	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Right.
9	MS. KEGERREIS: So we agree completely that
10	these that these assets were property of the estate,
11	and that the automatic stay applied.
12	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I mean, I suppose the
13	District Court, this is a theoretical problem, they could
14	have entered an in personam order against Rothstein, and
15	that's the end of it.
16	MS. KEGERREIS: That's correct, it would
17	have only reached Rothstein's assets.
18	JUDGE TJOFLAT: His own no, no, his own
19	interest in whatever there is.
20	MS. KEGERREIS: Correct.
21	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay.
22	MS. KEGERREIS: Correct, but to answer the
23	two questions, the first question we would answer a
24	resounding yes, that these assets
25	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And the automatic stay has

	Page 6
1	never been lifted, has it?
2	MS. KEGERREIS: No, it has not, and what
3	should have happened here is that the automatic stay
4	should have applied. Should the government
- 5	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well, it applies.
6	MS. KEGERREIS: It does apply.
7	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No question it applies.
8	MS. KEGERREIS: And the prior procedure
9	below would have been to the government
10	JUDGE TJOFLAT: The proper procedure would
11	be would be for the government, depending on what its
12	claim is, to file a claim.
13	MS. KEGERREIS: Or if they wanted relief
14	from the Bankruptcy Court, they should have moved for
15	relief
16	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No.
17	MS. KEGERREIS: from the stay.
18	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yea, but the Bankruptcy
19	Court wouldn't have granted it. That would have been
20	reversible error, in my view.
21	MS. KEGERREIS: Certainly in the first
22	instance the Bankruptcy Court
23	JUDGE TJOFLAT: The reason
24	MS. KEGERREIS: would have had the right
25	to make that determination.

Page 7
JUDGE TJOFLAT: The reason being, because
853(n) is no vehicle whatsoever for the settlement of a
bankrupt estate.
MS. KEGERREIS: Exactly.
JUDGE TJOFLAT: The procedures aren't there.
MS. KEGERREIS: Exactly. What happened,
your Honor, in this case Scott Rothstein was allowed to
make the determination of what property was going to be
available
JUDGE TJOFLAT: But that's irrelevant, too.
MS. KEGERREIS: to RRA's creditors.
JUDGE TJOFLAT: He made a determination,
because that's part of the deal, he wanted a good
sentence, the best he could get. So he'd give the
government anything.
MS. KEGERREIS: He could only give the
government what
JUDGE TJOFLAT: I understand.
MS. KEGERREIS: he had a legal interest
in.
JUDGE TJOFLAT: But it's all just a
unilateral statement on his part to the government.
MS. KEGERREIS: We agree com
JUDGE TJOFLAT: With no binding on the

MS. KEGERREIS: Absolutely, and as a consequence, your Honor, any orders entered by the District Court, the effect that affected the property of the estate are automatically void under the bankruptcy law in this circuit.
District Court, the effect that affected the property of the estate are automatically void under the bankruptcy
of the estate are automatically void under the bankruptcy
law in this circuit.
JUDGE TJOFLAT: They were in violation of
the stay order.
MS. KEGERREIS: They were in violation of
the stay order.
JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay.
MS. KEGERREIS: We tried we did
everything we could on the part
JUDGE TJOFLAT: I understand.
MS. KEGERREIS: of the trustee to bring
that issue to the District Court's attention
JUDGE TJOFLAT: The District Court
MS. KEGERREIS: and it was rejected.
JUDGE TJOFLAT: didn't even want you in
the case.
MS. KEGERREIS: I'm sorry?
JUDGE TJOFLAT: At one point, I understand
it, the District Court the government was resisting the
trustee's appearance.
MS. KEGERREIS: That's true. That's true.
JUDGE TJOFLAT: Why don't we hear from the

Page 9 government? Do you want to tell us anything else? 1 MS. KEGERREIS: Well, certainly on the issue 2 of the criminal forfeiture, it was misapplied in this case 3 to reach assets that had -- that did not belong to Rothstein, and belonged to the estate, and that was 5 improper under every construction of forfeiture laws that 6 this circuit is recognized, and I know your Honor has 7 written the law of the circuit in terms of criminal 8 forfeiture. 9 JUDGE BUCKLEW: Is it your position that all 10 . of -- that the properties are just the bank accounts that 11 were in the law firm's name? 12 MS. KEGERREIS: All of the properties, 13 because Section 541 of the Bankruptcy Code reaches all 14 legal or equitable interest of the debtor, and the 15 equitable interest of the debtor were the constructive 16 trust assets, those were all of those real properties, 17 vehicles, business interests and vessels that were 18 purchased with assets improperly diverted from RRA's 19 accounts, and the District Court found that the trustee 20 made -- had alleged all of the elements of a constructive 21 trust claim, and improperly refused to allow the District 22 Court to pursue that claim below. 23 So, the trustee clearly had an equitable 24 claim to those assets, and that equitable claim, as well 25

Page 10 1 as the assets subject to the equitable claim, were 2 property of the estate. 3 JUDGE TJOFLAT: And our decision in In Re: 4 Pharmacy, there is a presumption that the government 5 couldn't overcome, probably. 6 MS. KEGERREIS: The ---7 JUDGE TJOFLAT: The presumption that 8 everything, everything in the name of the law firm or its 9 nominees belongs to the estate. 10 MS. KEGERREIS: Yes, that's the same 11 conclusion that the Supreme Court in Whiting Pools reached 12 in adopting a -- saying that the property of the estate 13 should be given its broadest and most expensive meaning, 14 and certainly the RRA bank accounts, their contents, any 15 constructive assets claimed by the trustee all constituted 16 property of the estate. They should not have been subject 17 to a criminal forfeiture, which could only have reached 18 Rothstein's interests in the assets. Rothstein had no interest in the RRA bank accounts, he was a shareholder 19 20 of --JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well, that --21 22 MS. KEGERREIS: -- the corporation. 23 JUDGE TJOFLAT: -- doesn't make any 24 difference. 25 MS. KEGERREIS: Well, certainly this

h	Page 11
1	collision between the Bankruptcy Court and the government
2	should never have occurred if the law had been correctly
3	applied.
4	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Thank you.
5	JUDGE BUCKLEW: You've got your time for
6	rebuttal, and we'll give you what time you need.
7	MS. KEGERREIS: Thank you.
8	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Ms. Shirley.
9	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honors, good morning.
10	Madeleine Shirley on behalf of the United States. With me
11	is the asset forfeiture team, Evelyn Sheehan, Michelle
12	Alvarez and Allison Lare.
13	Your Honors, we take obviously a little
14	different approach than
15	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I know I'm shocked at the
16	government's position in this case, but I know you have
17	to
18	MS. SHIRLEY: Well
19	JUDGE TJOFLAT: You have to take another
20	approach, because of all that's been done in the criminal
21	case.
22	MS. SHIRLEY: Well, your Honor, I think you
23	have to look at the actual facts of what went on in
24	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well
25	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, if I may?

	Page 12
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: when the Chapter 11
2	proceeding commenced, will you agree that everything
3	became an asset of the estate?
4	MS. SHIRLEY: No, because
5	JUDGE TJOFLAT: What's your best case? Your
6	best case, your best case that you have, when you have an
7	entity in bankruptcy with an automatic stay, and here
8	comes a criminal court, a federal criminal court and
9	issues a forfeiture order, which in effect adjudicates a
10	matter in the bankruptcy estate?
11	MS. SHIRLEY: I would say
12	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Your best case.
13	MS. SHIRLEY: off the top of my head, and
14	from what notes I have, In Re: James would probably be,
15	which is a 4th Circuit case.
16	Also if I can cite to
17	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And what does In Re: James
18	say?
19	MS. SHIRLEY: In that I can it was a civil
20	forfeiture, state civil for
21	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, no, we're talking about
22	a criminal forfeiture.
23	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, your Honor.
24	JUDGE TJOFLAT: A criminal a civil
25	forfeiture is an in rem proceeding.

	Page 13
1	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, if I may. This is
2	a little bit unusual, because you've got RRA, which is the
3	debtor, which is a law firm, which in 2009, the year that
4	all these actions took place, earned a mere \$12 million.
5	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I understand that.
6	MS. SHIRLEY: They brought into the bank
7	accounts
8	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Wait, wait.
9	MS. SHIRLEY: from investors
10	\$724 million.
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Counsel, we understand that.
12	MS. SHIRLEY: That has nothing to do with
13	the law firm.
14	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, your problem is, how in
15	the world does a criminal forfeiture proceeding adjudicate
16	rights of claimants in a bankruptcy estate?
17	MS. SHIRLEY: Because it's not
18	adjudicating it's not adjudicating claimants rights in
19	a bankruptcy proceeding.
20	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Or even the government's
21	rights.
22	MS. SHIRLEY: Because, your Honor, these are
23	proceeds of Rothstein's criminal offenses.
24	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes.
25	MS. SHIRLEY: The fact that he deposited

	Page 14
1	them in someone else's account is of no consequence.
2	JUDGE TJOFLAT: What
3	MS. SHIRLEY: They are proceeds that
4	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Do you have
5	MS. SHIRLEY: became the government's
6	before they went
7	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Do you have a case that
8	holds that?
9	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, I think the basic
10	forfeiture law that talks about
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, no.
12	MS. SHIRLEY: property vesting in the
13	government
14	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I speak for my I speak
15	for myself, and the answer is, no. Do you have a case?
16	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, I would cite you
17	on a little bit different proposition.
18	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, no, do you have a case
19	that holds that an in personam government forfeiture
20	adjudicates the claims of claimants in a bankrupt estate?
21	MS. SHIRLEY: They're dealing with apples
22	and oranges.
23	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Do you have a case?
24	MS. SHIRLEY: Off the top of my head, no,
25	your Honor.

	Page 15
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: We'll give you leave to file
2	a case.
3	MS. SHIRLEY: Thank you.
4	JUDGE TJOFLAT: How much time would you
5	like?
6	MS. SHIRLEY: Seven days, please.
7	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay. Now go ahead.
8	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, even assuming
9	under your proposition that the bank accounts in the name
10	of RRA were subject to the automatic stay, there is an
11	exemption under 362(b)(4).
12	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes, and that doesn't apply.
13	MS. SHIRLEY: We take the position that it
14	does apply under the police powers of the government to
15	remove assets
16	JUDGE TJOFLAT: The government the
17	government's police powers? The government is like a
18	state now and has police powers?
19	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, it does.
20	JUDGE TJOFLAT: It does? Where, in the
21	Constitution?
22	MS. SHIRLEY: To enforce, to remove
23	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Where in the Constitution?
24	MS. SHIRLEY: To remove proceeds from
25	wrongdoers, yes. The United States has police powers.

	Page 16
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Give us a case for that,
2	too.
3	MS. SHIRLEY: I will try to find you
4	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Under the Constitution,
5	police power.
6	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, if I may. Our
7	position is it falls under 362(b)(4), under the police and
8	regulatory powers.
9	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Is that your argument, that
10	the stay doesn't apply?
11	MS. SHIRLEY: The bankruptcy estate doesn't
12	apply.
13	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, no, the stay doesn't
14	apply?
15	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, the stay does not apply.
16	JUDGE TJOFLAT: The stay does not apply?
17	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes.
18	JUDGE TJOFLAT: That means that the trustee
19	and the government can litigate in a court in a civil
20	proceeding.
21	MS. SHIRLEY: In the ancillary proceeding.
22	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, no, no, a civil
23	proceeding where there are due process rights.
24	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, 853(n) is the due
25	process rights for any party claiming an interest in the

	Page 17
1	funds that the government has forfeited through the
2	defendant
3	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Give us a case that holds
4	that stay exception, the automatic stay exception is
5	triggered by a forfeiture proceeding in personam.
6	MS. SHIRLEY: That the stay provision the
7	exemption to the stay.
8	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No. You have an automatic
9	stay
10	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes.
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: and you're citing a
12	statute which relieves certain things from the automatic
13	stay. Give us a case which holds that a criminal
14	in personam forfeiture proceeding, which commences after
15	the automatic stay is in place, is not barred by the
16	automatic stay.
17	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, just off the top
18	of my head I'm not I believe
19	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well, you can put that in
20	your memorandum.
21	MS. SHIRLEY: I will, I will do that as
22	well.
23	But, your Honor, I think if I may just
24	address the issue that the Court's concern addresses in
25	our position only the bank accounts.

	Page 18
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: The Court's concern, this
2	problem should have been front and center in the
3	government's office. You have a bankrupt lawyer in the
4	U.S. Attorney's Office?
5	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, we do, your Honor.
6	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And probably not seated at
7	the table.
8	MS. SHIRLEY: No.
9	JUDGE TJOFLAT: That's the very first thing
10	that should have come to mind, is frustrating the
11	bankruptcy law, and when you frustrate the bankruptcy law,
12	you frustrate all of the creditors of the bankrupt
13	estate
14	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor
15	JUDGE TJOFLAT: their rights.
16	MS. SHIRLEY: if I may address that,
17	though. You have competing interests here that I'm not
18	sure how to properly explain this to you, but the
19	creditors of the bankruptcy the bankruptcy estate is
20	of the law firm, which was very small, compared to the
21	fraud, which was enormous. The
22	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I'm talking about everything
23	that was in the name of the law firm, is in the bankruptcy
24	estate. Do you have that?
25	MS. SHIRLEY: That's that would be

	Page 19
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I don't care where it came
2	from. Everything that was in the name of the law firm is
3	in the bankruptcy estate. All of those bank accounts that
4	are listed in the District Court's orders are in the
5	bankruptcy estate, all of them.
6	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, under that
7	analysis, you would be
8	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, no analysis. As a
9	matter of law, Counsel.
10	MS. SHIRLEY: Except for the exemptions.
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Counsel, as a matter of law.
12	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, if I may. With
13	respect to, had this not been a forfeiture action against
14	Mr. Rothstein, and the debtor's action against the law
15	firm, the other exemption would have applied, which is
16	362(b)(1), which is a forfeiture any criminal action.
17	JUDGE BUCKLEW: But that's a sacronal
18	(phonetic) prosecution of the debtor, and
19	MS. SHIRLEY: Right, and that's the
20	distinction, that's why that one won't apply.
21	JUDGE BUCKLEW: Right.
22	MS. SHIRLEY: But in an ordinary
23	circumstance, where you have the debtor and the
24	JUDGE TJOFLAT: You can sue, the government
25	can sue Rothstein at all times individually

	Page 20
1	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes.
2	JUDGE TJOFLAT: because he is not
3	individually in that bankruptcy estate. He's in the
4	he's in the bankrupt estate, to the extent that he's a
5	partner.
6	MS. SHIRLEY: Right.
7	JUDGE TJOFLAT: The partnership is in the
8	estate.
9	MS. SHIRLEY: What I was trying to just
10	analogize, though is, your Honor, is that in the normal
11	circumstance, when you have a debtor who is also a
12	criminal defendant, the automatic stay does not apply
13	to
14	JUDGE TJOFLAT: You're going to give us
15	you're going to give us a case which says that the
16	Bankruptcy Court, the Federal Court can proceed with an
17	in personam, in personam, listen carefully.
18	MS. SHIRLEY: In personam.
19	JUDGE TJOFLAT: An in personam decree
20	forfeiting the defendant's interest, you understand?
21	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes.
22	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And then adjudicate the
23	claims of the bankruptcy estate through 853(n).
24	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, the
25	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And you're going to give us

1	Page 21
1	a case that holds that.
2	MS. SHIRLEY: I will try.
3	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I mean, you don't have one,
4	so it's no sense us arguing about it.
5	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, but I think that the
6	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I know you think you're
7	right.
8	MS. SHIRLEY: You
9	JUDGE TJOFLAT: You're going to give us a
10	case that holds that.
11	MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, the position is
12	the bankruptcy estate doesn't cannot adjudicate
13	interests
14	JUDGE TJOFLAT: That the bankruptcy estate
15	will adjudicate every interest that people have, including
16	the government, in anything that is in the bankruptcy
17	estate, which means all the bank accounts, and anything
18	else that's in bankruptcy.
19	MS. SHIRLEY: But our position is that's an
20	artificial fiction when the funds that went in there
21	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Then give us a case that
22	holds that it's an artificial fiction.
23	MS. SHIRLEY: With respect to proceeds of
24	crime, your Honor.
25	JUDGE TJOFLAT: An artificial fiction.

Page 22

MS. SHIRLEY: Thank you.

JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay.

MS. SHIRLEY: Your Honor, just, if I can just clarify with respect to, our position is that the Court's concern addresses only the things titled in the name of RRA, not Rothstein's properties, the other things at issue.

JUDGE TJOFLAT: You can go and get his house or whatever it is against him individually. We're not talking about that. The orders cover all those bank -- the bank accounts in Rothstein -- in the firm's account.

MS. SHIRLEY: Right.

JUDGE BUCKLEW: Okay. I'm puzzled, because when I asked the question of her, opposing counsel, I thought we were talking about all of the properties. Are the issues that we're talking about just what is in the law firm's name, the bank accounts, or are we talking about the houses, the cars, the -- all sorts of things?

MS. SHIRLEY: The only thing listed in the law firm's name that we included in the forfeiture were the bank accounts. We did not take desks. We did not take other things from the law firm. Nothing else was removed from the law firm for forfeiture purposes.

JUDGE TJOFLAT: But all -- forget forfeiture. Everything that the law firm -- is in the law

	Page 23
1	firm's name
2	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes.
3	JUDGE TJOFLAT: and Judge Bucklew is
4	right, you can go after anything he owns individually.
5	MS. SHIRLEY: Right.
6	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Not that he owns in the law
7	firm.
8	MS. SHIRLEY: Ms. Kegerreis was arguing that
9	the tried to make a more expensive argument, that they
10	also have a constructive trust as to the other
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, we're talking about
12	Counsel, we're talking about all of the assets of the
13	estate. Judge Ray is administering an estate, and there
14	is an automatic stay, and you're going to find a case
15	which says that a United States District Judge, under
16	853(n), can unilaterally adjudicate the rights and
17	interests of claimants to the bankrupt estate, period.
18	MS. SHIRLEY: I hope.
19	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I hope somebody at that
20	table has written that down, just verbatim. Okay.
21	JUDGE BUCKLEW: Okay, but that's right,
22	that's what she was arguing is a
23	MS. SHIRLEY: Right.
24	JUDGE BUCKLEW: constructive trust on
25	everything, and because there were a lot of things that

	Page 24
1	were not in either the bankruptcy I'm sorry, the law
2	firm's name or
3	MS. SHIRLEY: Or in Rothstein's name.
4	JUDGE BUCKLEW: Right.
5	MS. SHIRLEY: Correct.
6	JUDGE BUCKLEW: They were in all sorts of
7	names.
8	MS. SHIRLEY: Exactly, LLCs, all different
9	types of things, yes, and we would dispute the trustee's
10	position that they have a the bankruptcy estate has a
11	constructive trust, either through the automatic stay or
12	otherwise in those assets
13	JUDGE TJOFLAT: If the Bankruptcy Court says
14	it's a constructive trust, then we'll entertain that
15	appeal, or the District Judge will. Not this District
16	Judge in the criminal case, do you understand?
17	MS. SHIRLEY: Well
18	JUDGE TJOFLAT: If the Bankruptcy Judge
19	adjudicates a constructive trust in favor of the bankrupt
20	estate, then you go to the United States District Court
21	with an appeal from the Bankruptcy Judge's order.
22	MS. SHIRLEY: I don't believe the bankruptcy
23	Trust the Bankruptcy Judge
24	JUDGE TJOFLAT: We don't have that matter
25	before us.

Page 25

MS. SHIRLEY: No, and I don't believe that that's ever been entered.

JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes.

MS. SHIRLEY: Because the bankruptcy trustee came into the end proceeding under 853 to adjudicate his interest with respect to everything. So -- and we have -- and we can stop a bankruptcy proceeding, if they were trying to remove those assets as well, but those are assets that clearly were not in the name of RRA, and were not automatic -- under your theory, automatically part of the estate.

We would argue there is no constructive trust under the circumstances because RRA was the vehicle and the enterprise that Rothstein used for furthering the RICO violations and the Ponzi scheme in this case, and should not stand in a higher position than anyone else who would be trying to adjudicate their interest in the 853(n) proceeding.

JUDGE BUCKLEW: So if we're talking about a stay, and the stay stopping everything, we're talking -- and we're not considering the constructive trust, we're talking about those bank accounts that were in the law firm's -- or whatever was in the law firm's name?

MS. SHIRLEY: That's my understanding, is -JUDGE TJOFLAT: That's right.

the ly you
, I
ly
r.
ng but
us,
t has
es,
€.
lso is
it,
ning
ree
9

Page 27 Unless the Court has no further questions, 1 2 we rely on ---JUDGE MARTIN: I actually do, I do --3 MS. SHIRLEY: Oh, I'm sorry, okay. 4 JUDGE MARTIN: -- have a question. 5 It's been a long time since I've -- at one 6 point I was in a U.S. Attorney's Office, and forfeiture 7 was very different, and I'm just interested, I mean, at 8 the end of the day, the government's position is, well, we 9 need this money to give it back to the victims, is that 10 right, or is that ---11 MS. SHIRLEY: That's essentially what we've 12 -- what we had told Judge Cohn, yes, we're interested in 13 doing --14 JUDGE MARTIN: And so ---15 MS. SHIRLEY: -- is removing, removing the 16 proceeds of the crime from Rothstein and third parties, 17 who are holding it, who are not qualified as bona fide 18 purchasers for value, forfeiting them, and then through a 19 restoration process, through restitution, paying those 20 funds back to the victims. 21 In the Bankruptcy Court those victims fall 22 much later than any of the creditors of RRA which, again, 23 RRA generated very little money. 24 JUDGE MARTIN: So you're ---25

	Page 28
1	MS. SHIRLEY: So the creditors would be a
2	higher position than the victims, whose funds are actually
3	sitting in those accounts.
4	JUDGE MARTIN: So you don't I mean, you
5	just disagree with the priority that would be given in the
6	Bankruptcy Court?
7	MS. SHIRLEY: Absolutely, because these are
8	not RRA's RRA did not earn these fees.
9	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Counsel
10	MS. SHIRLEY: These are not fees.
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: all those victims are
12	claimants in the Bankruptcy Court, every last victim.
13	Everybody
14	MS. SHIRLEY: They are not, your Honor.
15	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Everybody who gave a dime to
16	the law firm, and who was entitled to something in return
17	for the dime, is a claimant.
18	MS. SHIRLEY: And they take after
19	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And what you've done, I'm
20	going to tell you what you've done, you have created
21	statute of limitations problems for the victims.
22	Now, the law can avoid that problem by
23	interfering with the administration of a bankrupt estate.
24	MS. SHIRLEY: Well, your Honor, we still
25	because

Page 29 JUDGE TJOFLAT: If you're wrong, if you're 1 wrong, that's what you've done, will you agree? Will you 2 agree with that, that if you've done that, that's -- if 3 you're wrong, you've done that? 4 MS. SHIRLEY: I don't even know how to 5 answer that because I ---6 JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well, you will when you 7 8 write --MS. SHIRLEY: Yes. 9 JUDGE TJOFLAT: -- your memorandum --10 MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, I will. 11 JUDGE TJOFLAT: -- and cite --12 MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, I will. 13 JUDGE TJOFLAT: -- the cases that hold --14 MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, I will, your Honor. 15 JUDGE TJOFLAT: -- what you say they hold? 16 MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, I will, your Honor. 17 JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay. 18 MS. SHIRLEY: But I just want to impress 19 upon the Court that through the forfeiture process, the 20 victims whose funds are the proceeds of the crime, sitting 21 in those accounts, would recover without having to go 22 behind secured creditors of a law firm, where the law 23 firm's assets truly are very small. 24 The law firm did not earn these fees, and 25

	D
1	Page 30 the same thing with Mr. Lubetsky's client, who actually
2	the law firm was holding the money in trust. The law firm
3	actually has no equitable interest in those in the
4	trust funds, and he should not be in Bankruptcy Court to
5	try to fight to get those back, after secured creditors of
6	a law firm, not Mr. Rothstein, who put the funds in.
7	JUDGE MARTIN: Are you talking about the
8	trust accounts now?
9	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes, yes. I know he'll
10	have
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I think we've got your case,
12	and you'll submit the memorandum
13	MS. SHIRLEY: Yes.
14	
	JUDGE TJOFLAT: in seven days.
15	MS. SHIRLEY: Thank you, your Honor
16	JUDGE TJOFLAT: And
17	MS. SHIRLEY: We'd ask that you
18	JUDGE TJOFLAT: the other side as well,
19	submit your memorandum.
20	MR. LUBETSKY: May it please the Court. My
21	name is Cary Lubetsky. I represent Todd Snyder, and I
22	wanted to address your Honor's questions.
23	The first one was whether the bank accounts
24	and other assets of RRA were part of the bankruptcy
25	estate, and the only bank account that I'd like to address
2000 L	The same is the same and same

	Page 31
1	is the trust account that's at issue in our case.
2	JUDGE TJOFLAT: But it's in the law firm's
3	name.
4	MR. LUBETSKY: It is, your Honor, and
5	your Honor has issued an opinion on that.
6	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I understand.
7	MR. LUBETSKY: The Skanlion opinion
8	(phonetic), if I may quote you on it.
9	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I'm familiar with it.
10	MR. LUBETSKY: I know.
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes.
12	MR. LUBETSKY: I just want to make sure that
13	we are. Your opinion says, under Florida law legal title
14	to property placed in an escrow account remains with the
15	grantor until the occurrence of the condition
16	JUDGE TJOFLAT: You're absolutely right,
17	Counsel, but the problem is, is that the Bankruptcy Judge
18	will give it to you.
19	MR. LUBETSKY: But you said right after
20	that
21	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes.
22	MR. LUBETSKY: nonetheless, funds that
23	are deposited into an escrow account by a debtor for the
24	benefit of others cannot be characterized as property of
25	the estate.

y of
:
ruptcy
- 3
, I'm
are
te.
about
ot up
idge's
rict

Page 33 MR. LUBETSKY: I do. That brings us to --1 once question one was answered in the negative for my 2 client's bank account, your position, and the Court's 3 position is, apparently you must go to the Bankruptcy 4 Court and seek leave of stay, or have the Bankruptcy Court 5 tell you to go back out and deal with it in ---6 JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well, I don't have any doubt 7 in my mind that the Bankruptcy Judge in this case is 8 probably going to, without -- in a New York minute, do 9 what's right. 10 MR. LUBETSKY: And the Bankruptcy Court --11 the Bankruptcy Court here -- I mean, the Bankruptcy Court 12 did make a decision on that very recently, it was part of 13 our supplement authority that we filed, and the Bankruptcy 14 Court stated in the August opinion we filed, this was In 15 Re: Rothstein Rosenfeldt Adler, this is 2012 WestLaw 16 17 432 --JUDGE TJOFLAT: We ---18 MR. LUBETSKY: -- 0479. 19 JUDGE TJOFLAT: We've read it. 20 MR. LUBETSKY: And the statement was ---21 JUDGE TJOFLAT: We read the opinion. 22 MR. LUBETSKY: Right, the sole remedy of the 23 bankruptcy trustee to assert an alleged interest in the 24 property subject to forfeiture is to file a claim and 25

Page 34 participate in the ancillary proceeding. 1 JUDGE TJOFLAT: I understand, but here 2 3 you've got a Bankruptcy Judge and a District Judge, and the District Judge is running roughshod over the 4 bankruptcy estate, what would you do if you were the 5 Bankruptcy Judge? I'm dead serious now. 6 7 MR. LUBETSKY: It ---JUDGE TJOFLAT: This is the Judge that's 8 9 going to review the decision. MS. WEINER: Well, I thought your Honor just 10 said it's not going to be this Judge reviewing the 11 12 decision. JUDGE TJOFLAT: No, no, we can straighten 13 14 matters out, and -- but the Bankruptcy Judge is a hopeless 15 situation. 16 MR. LUBETSKY: But the Bankruptcy Judge 17 didn't just rely on this District Judge's decision. The Bankruptcy Judge in this case, in Rothstein Rosenfeldt 18 19 Adler --20 JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes. MR. LUBETSKY: -- when it said, go to the 21 22 forfeiture, relied on U.S. versus Urbanback (phonetic), a 6th Circuit case, relied on Global Vending, another 23 Bankruptcy Court case, and a Northern District of 24 25 California case, the American ---

	Page 35
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: But not on an 11th Circuit
2	case?
3	MR. LUBETSKY: No, just the 6th Circuit and
4	another Southern District of Bankruptcy, and a Northern
5	District of California bankruptcy case, but it doesn't
6	appear to me that this Bankruptcy Judge was worried about
7	being reversed by the District Court.
8	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well, no, I just, I just
9	make that observation.
10	MR. LUBETSKY: I understand, and that's why
11	I said I think your Honor's observation
12	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I've seen a lot of
13	forfeiture cases, and I have to tell you this is at the
14	extreme.
15	MR. LUBETSKY: I
16	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Gilbert was bad enough, but
17	this makes Gilbert pale by comparison.
18	MR. LUBETSKY: I haven't, but my client was
19	had money in a trust account, and the trust account
20	gets is my client's interest, not the law firm's.
21	JUDGE TJOFLAT: We understand.
22	MR. LUBETSKY: In the bankruptcy estate, you
23	asked the question of, what happens, doesn't it get
24	administered in the bankruptcy estate? It does, it does
25	get administered, and unfortunately it gets subject to

	Page 36
1	\$10 million of attorney's fees in the bankruptcy estate,
2	rather than being dealt with efficiently by the
3	government.
4	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Well, not necessarily.
5	JUDGE MARTIN: Well, here is the other I
6	mean, I don't know whether it's still your position, but
7	at some point your client took the position that I'm going
8	to get everything I can in the criminal forfeiture
9	proceeding, and then I'm going to Bankruptcy Court and not
10	offset any of that, and get everything I can there. Is
11	that still your position?
12	MR. LUBETSKY: No, absolutely not. The
13	position was, we have a \$2.16 million claim. We get our
14	money that is in the Bankruptcy Court, the \$213,000 that
15	was awarded to us by the final order of forfeiture, which
16	offsets our claim. It offsets our claim because then we
17	will have only lost \$1.9 million, and our claim is not a
18	\$2.16 million claim, we're just the loser of \$1.9 million.
19	We did not
20	JUDGE MARTIN: But you're going to go to
21	Bankruptcy Court.
22	MR. LUBETSKY: We've still got to go to
23	Bankruptcy Court for the 1.9.
24	JUDGE MARTIN: Right.
25	MR. LUBETSKY: We did not take the position

	Page 37			
1	that we have an offset on the distribution. If we had an			
2	offset on the distribution, that's a different story, if			
3	they pay 10 cents on the dollar, whether we got it in			
4	Bankruptcy or District Court, we get zero. We don't know			
5	what the distribution is, because it's been three years			
6	and there has been none.			
7	JUDGE MARTIN: That may be a good reason why			
8	there ought to be one person distributing the assets.			
9	MR. LUBETSKY: Or			
10	JUDGE MARTIN: Right? Okay.			
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I think we understand your			
12	position.			
13	MR. LUBETSKY: Thank you.			
14	MS. KEGERREIS: Your Honor, I'd like to make			
15	three very brief points.			
16	First, with response to the whether or			
17	not the exemption under the Bankruptcy Code 362(b)(4)			
18	applies under the police powers			
19	JUDGE TJOFLAT: They're going to cite a			
20	case.			
21	MS. KEGERREIS: Well, there is I can give			
22	you a case, your Honor, if you'd like.			
23	JUDGE TJOFLAT: I know. They have to have			
24	an opportunity to go find one.			
25	MS. KEGERREIS: Okay.			

	Page 38
1	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Why don't you just give it
2	to them?
3	MS. KEGERREIS: Okay. The case I'm dead
4	serious, Counsel, just give it to your sister over there
5	and she'll put it in her memorandum.
6	MS. SHIRLEY: Can I
7	MS. KEGERREIS: I'm happy to. Police power
8	simply doesn't apply to this situation.
9	In terms of the what the government said
10	about the system, priorities in the Bankruptcy Court,
11	they're completely wrong. The
12	JUDGE TJOFLAT: We don't have a Bankruptcy
13	Court matter before us now.
14	MS. KEGERREIS: I just wanted to
15	correct
16	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Counsel, that's not going to
17	come into the decision.
18	MS. KEGERREIS: Very good. Very good and,
19	again, on the let me, on the constructive trust assets,
20	which Judge Bucklew addressed, we are making a claim under
21	541(a) to all of the constructive trust assets or assets
22	that were assets purchased with funds diverted from
23	RRA's accounts, those are assets which the District Court
24	found the trustee had made out a constructive trust claim,
25	we agree that the Bankruptcy Judge should have had the

	Page 39			
1	opportunity to rule what was a constructive trust asset,			
2	that's its core function under 157 of the Bankruptcy Code,			
3	we agree completely with your Honor			
4	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Counsel, we understand all			
5	that power by the Bankruptcy Judge.			
6	The question here has to do with a United			
7	States District Judge litigating bankruptcy claims under			
8	853(n) of Title 21.			
9	MS. KEGERREIS: Our position is that that			
10	should not have been done.			
11	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Okay.			
12	MS. KEGERREIS: That that violated the			
13	automatic stay.			
14	JUDGE TJOFLAT: Yes.			
15	MS. KEGERREIS: And that all of these			
16	matters should have been decided by the			
17	JUDGE TJOFLAT: We've thrown you			
18	several			
19	MS. KEGERREIS: District Court.			
20	JUDGE TJOFLAT: snowballs, so you might			
21	as well			
22	MS. KEGERREIS: Thank you, your Honor.			
23	JUDGE TJOFLAT: take one and just			
24	MS. KEGERREIS: We'll rest on the briefs.			
25	Thank you very much.			

Case 09-34791-RBR Doc 4232 Filed 04/10/13 Page 62 of 80

```
Page 40
                     JUDGE TJOFLAT: Throw softballs, put it that
 1
 2
      way.
 3
 4
 5
                     (Thereupon, the hearing was concluded.)
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Page 41
1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATION
4	
5	STATE OF FLORIDA :
6	COUNTY OF MIAMI-DADE :
7	
8	I, Cheryl L. Jenkins, RPR, Shorthand
9	Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida
10	at Large, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings
11	were transcribed by me from the date and place as stated
12	in the caption hereto on Page 1; that the foregoing
13	computer-aided transcription is a true record of the
14	recording on the CD to the best of my knowledge of said
15	proceeding.
16	WITNESS my hand this 10th day of December,
17	2012.
18	
19	
20	
21	CHERYL L. JENKINS, RPR,
22	Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
23	Commission #DD 920461 December 27, 2013
24	December 21, 2013
25	

F	1			
A	34:23 35:4	bad 35:16	23:21,24 24:4,6 25:19	clarify 22:4
about 12:21 14:10	answer 5:22,23 14:15	bank 9:11 10:14,19	38:20	clearly 9:24 25:9
18:22 21:4 22:10,15	29:6	13:6 15:9 17:25 19:3	business 9:18	client 30:1 35:18 36:7
22:16,18 23:11,12	answered 33:2	21:17 22:10,11,17,21		client's 33:3 35:20
	anyone 25:16	25:22 30:23,25 33:3	C	Code 9:14 37:17 39:2
25:19,22 26:19 30:7	anything 7:15 9:1	bankrupt 3:19 7:3	California 34:25 35:5	Cohn 27:13
32:19 35:6 38:10	21:16,17 23:4	14:20 18:3,12 20:4	came 19:1 25:5	collision 11:1
absolutely 4:5 8:1 28:7	anyway 3:15	23:17 24:19 28:23	caption 41:12	com 7:23
31:16 36:12	apparently 33:4	bankruptcy 3:10,20	care 19:1	come 18:10 38:17
account 5:7 14:1 22:11	appeal 24:15,21	4:10,12,14,18 6:14,18	carefully 20:17	comes 12:8
30:25 31:1,14,23 33:3	Appeals 1:1,18	6:22 7:25 8:4 9:14	cars 22:18	commenced 3:16 12:2
35:19,19		1	Cary 2:10 30:21	commences 17:14
accounts 4:25 9:11,20	appear 35:6	11:1 12:7,10 13:16,19		
10:14,19 13:7 15:9	appearance 8:23	16:11 18:11,11,19,19	case 1:3 3:17 4:2,19 7:7	Commission 41:23
17:25 19:3 21:17	APPEARANCES 2:1	18:23 19:3,5 20:3,16	8:19 9:3 11:16,21	compared 18:20
22:11,17,21 25:22	apples 14:21	20:23 21:12,14,16,18	12:5,6,6,12,15 14:7	comparison 35:17
28:3 29:22 30:8,23	applied 5:11 6:4 11:3	24:1,10,13,18,21,22	14:15,18,23 15:2 16:1	competing 18:17
32:15 38:23	19:15	24:23 25:4,7 26:4,15	17:3,13 20:15 21:1,10	completely 5:9 38:11
action 19:13,14,16	applies 6:5,7 37:18	26:21 27:22 28:6,12	21:21 23:14 24:16	39:3
actions 13:4	apply 6:6 15:12,14	30:4,24 31:17 32:5,11	25:15 26:4 30:11	computer-aided 41:13
actual 11:23	16:10,12,14,15,16	32:23 33:4,5,8,11,12	31:1 33:8 34:18,23,24	concern 17:24 18:1
actually 27:3 28:2 30:1	19:20 20:12 38:8	33:12,14,24 34:3,5,6	34:25 35:2,5 37:20,22	22:5
30:3	appreciate 32:10	34:14,16,18,24 35:4,5	38:3	concluded 40:6
address 17:24 18:16	approach 11:14,20	35:6,22,24 36:1,9,14	cases 29:14 35:13	conclusion 10:11
30:22,25	argue 25:12	36:21,23 37:4,17	CD 1:23 41:14	condition 31:15
addressed 38:20	arguing 21:4 23:8,22	38:10,12,25 39:2,5,7	center 18:2	consequence 8:2 14:1
addresses 17:24 22:5	argument 16:9 23:9	barred 17:15	cents 37:3	considering 25:21
adjudicate 13:15 20:22	artificial 21:20,22,25	basic 14:9	certain 17:12	constituted 10:15
21:12,15 23:16 25:5	asked 22:14 35:23	became 12:3 14:5	certainly 4:24 6:21 9:2	Constitution 15:21,23
25:17	asking 4:13	before 1:14 3:13 14:6	10:14,25 26:6	16:4
adjudicates 12:9 14:20	assert 33:24	24:25 38:13	CERTIFICATION	construction 9:6
24:19	asset 11:11 12:3 39:1	beginning 4:8	41:3	constructive 9:16,21
adjudicating 13:18,18	assets 4:11,20 5:10,17	behalf 2:3,8,10 11:10	certify 41:10	10:15 23:10,24 24:11
Adler 1:11 33:16 34:19	5:24 9:4,17,19,25	behind 29:23	Chapter 1:10 2:3 3:16	24:14,19 25:12,21
administered 35:24,25	10:1,15,18 15:15	being 7:1 35:7 36:2	12:1	38:19,21,24 39:1
administering 23:13	23:12 24:12 25:8,9	belated 3:6	characterized 31:24	consult 26:8
administration 28:23	29:24 30:24 37:8	believe 17:18 24:22	Cheryl 1:24 41:8,21	contents 10:14
adopting 10:12	38:19,21,21,22,23	25:1	circuit 1:2 4:19 8:5 9:7	contracts 26:14
affected 8:3	assuming 15:8	belong 4:12,18 5:7 9:4	9:8 12:15 26:20	core 39:2
after 17:14 23:4 26:8	attention 4:8 8:15	belonged 9:5	34:23 35:1,3	corporation 10:22
28:18 30:5 31:19	attorney's 18:4 27:7	belongs 10:9	circumstance 19:23	correct 5:16,20,22 24:5
again 27:23 38:19	36:1	below 6:9 9:23	20:11	38:15
against 5:14 19:13,14	August 33:15	benefit 31:24	circumstances 25:13	correctly 11:2
22:9	authority 33:14	BERGER 2:2	cite 12:16 14:16 29:12	counsel 13:11 19:9,11
agree 3:21 4:4 5:9 7:23	automatic 5:11,25 6:3	best 7:14 12:5,6,6,12	37:19	22:14 23:12 28:9
12:2 26:21 29:2,3	12:7 15:10 17:4,8,12	41:14	citing 17:11	31:17 32:20 38:4,16
32:14,15 38:25 39:3	17:15,16 20:12 23:14	between 11:1	civil 12:19,20,24 16:19	39:4
ahead 15:7	24:11 25:10 39:13	BEVERLY 1:16	16:22	COUNTY 41:6
alleged 9:21 33:24	automatically 8:4	binding 7:24	claim 6:12,12 9:22,23	course 3:11
Allison 2:8 11:12	25:10	bit 13:2 14:17	9:25,25 10:1 33:25	court 1:1,18 3:20 4:10
allow 9:22	available 7:9	bona 27:18	36:13,16,16,17,18	4:13,14,18,18 5:13
allowed 7:7	avoid 28:22	brief 37:15	38:20,24	6:14,19,22 7:25 8:3
Alvarez 2:7 11:12	awarded 36:15	briefs 39:24	claimant 28:17	8:16,22 9:20,23 10:11
America 1:4 2:8	a.m 1:20	bring 8:14	claimants 13:16,18	11:1 12:8,8 16:19
American 34:25		brings 33:1	14:20 23:17 28:12	20:16,16 24:13,20
analogize 20:10	В	broadest 10:13	claimed 10:15	26:15,20,21 27:1,22
analysis 19:7,8	B 1:15,16	brought 4:7 13:6	claiming 16:25	28:6,12 29:20 30:4,20
ancillary 16:21 34:1	back 27:10,21 30:5	Bucklew 1:16 9:10 11:5	claims 14:20 20:23	33:5,5,11,12,12,15
	33:6	19:17,21 22:13 23:3	39:7	34:24 35:7 36:9,14,21
another 11:19 26:11,13	11			
		1		

36:23 37:4 38:10,13
38:23 39:19 41:22
Courts 4:10
Court's 4:7 8:15 17:24
18:1 19:4 22:5 33:3
cover 22:10
crash 3:11
created 28:20
creditors 7:11 18:12,19
27:23 28:1 29:23
30:5
crime 21:24 27:17
29:21
criminal 4:2 9:3,8
10:17 11:20 12:8,8,22
12:24 13:15,23 17:13
19:16 20:12 24:16
26:10,17 36:8

D **D** 2:10 date 41:11 day 27:9 41:16 days 15:6 30:14 **DD** 41:23 dead 34:6 38:3 deal 7:13 33:6 dealing 14:21 dealt 36:2 debtor 4:22 9:15,16 13:3 19:18,23 20:11 31:23 debtor's 19:14 December 1:20 41:16 41:23 decide 32:21,24 decided 26:21 39:16 decision 10:3 33:13 34:9,12,17 38:17 decisions 32:25 decree 20:19 defendant 1:8 17:2 20:12 defendant's 20:20 depending 6:11 deposited 13:25 31:23 desks 22:21 determination 6:25 7:8 7:12 determine 4:11 difference 3:15 10:24 different 11:14 14:17 24:8 27:8 37:2 dime 28:15,17 disagree 28:5 disposition 4:3 dispute 24:9

distinction 19:20

distributing 37:8 distribution 37:1,2,5 **District** 4:2,7,10,13 5:13 8:3,15,16,22 9:20,22 19:4 23:15 24:15,15,20 32:24 34:3,4,17,24 35:4,5,7 37:4 38:23 39:7,19 diverted 9:19 38:22 doing 27:14 dollar 37:3 done 11:20 28:19,20 29:2,3,4 39:10 doubt 32:19 33:7 down 23:20 due 16:23,24

earn 28:8 29:25 earned 13:4 effect 4:3 8:3 12:9 efficiently 36:2 either 24:1,11 elements 9:21 ELEVENTH 1:2 else's 14:1 end 5:15 25:5 27:9 enforce 15:22 enormous 18:21 enough 35:16 entered 5:14 8:2 25:2 enterprise 25:14 entertain 24:14 entitled 28:16 entity 12:7 equipment 26:15 equitable 4:22 9:15,16 9:24,25 10:1 30:3 error 6:20 escrow 31:14,23 **Esquire** 2:3,5,6,7,8,10 essentially 27:12 estate 3:19 4:12,20 5:3 5:10 7:3 8:4 9:5 10:2 10:9,12,16 12:3,10 13:16 14:20 16:11 18:13,19,24 19:3,5 20:3,4,8,23 21:12,14 21:17 23:13,13,17 24:10,20 25:11 28:23 30:25 31:25 32:3,5,18 34:5 35:22,24 36:1 Evelyn 2:6 11:11 even 4:20 8:18 13:20 15:8 29:5

ever 3:14 25:2

every 9:6 21:15 28:12

Everybody 28:13,15

everything 3:17 4:19 5:2 8:12 10:8,8 12:2 18:22 19:2 22:25 23:25 25:6,20 36:8,10 Exactly 7:4,6 24:8 **Except** 19:10 exception 17:4,4 exemption 15:11 17:7 19:15 37:17 exemptions 19:10 expensive 10:13 23:9 explain 18:18 extent 20:4 extreme 35:14

F fact 13:25 facts 11:23 fall 27:22 **falls** 16:7 familiar 3:9,17 31:9 favor 24:19 federal 12:8 20:16 fees 28:8,10 29:25 36:1 fiction 21:20,22,25 fide 27:18 fight 30:5 file 6:12 15:1 33:25 filed 4:13 33:14,15 final 36:15 find 16:3 23:14 37:24 firm 3:18,18 4:20 5:2,7 10:8 13:3,13 18:20,23 19:2,15 22:22,23,25 23:7 28:16 29:23,25 30:2,2,6 firm's 5:7 9:12 22:11 22:17,20 23:1 24:2 25:23,23 29:24 31:2 35:20 first 4:11 5:23 6:21 18:9 30:23 37:16 FI 1:19 Florida 31:13 41:5,9,22 folks 26:9 foregoing 41:10,12 forfeited 17:1 forfeiting 20:20 27:19 forfeiture 3:14 9:3,6,9 10:17 11:11 12:9,20 12:22,25 13:15 14:10 from 1:23 6:14,17 8:25 9:19 12:14 13:9 15:24 17:12 19:2 22:22,23 24:21 27:17 38:22 41:11 front 18:2 frustrate 18:11,12 frustrating 18:10 function 39:2 funds 4:3 5:6 17:1 21:20 27:21 28:2 29:21 30:4,6 31:22 38:22 further 27:1 furthering 25:14 G gave 28:15 generated 27:24 **GERALD** 1:15 gets 35:20,25 **Gilbert** 35:16,17 give 7:14,16 11:6 15:1 16:1 17:3,13 20:14,15 20:25 21:9,21 27:10 31:18 37:21 38:1,4 given 10:13 28:5 glad 32:15 **Global** 34:23 go 15:7 22:8 23:4 24:20 29:22 33:4,6 34:21 36:20,22 37:24 going 7:8 20:14,15,25 21:9 23:14 28:20 33:9 34:9,11 36:7,9 36:20 37:19 38:16 good 7:13 11:9 37:7 38:18,18 government 6:4,9,11 7:15,17,22 8:22 9:1 10:4 11:1 14:13,19 15:14,16,17 16:19 17:1 19:24 21:16 36:3 38:9 government's 11:16 13:20 14:5 15:17 18:3 27:9 granted 6:19 grantor 31:15 H hand 41:16 **handle** 26:15

happened 6:3 7:6 happens 32:5 35:23 happy 38:7 having 29:22 head 12:13 14:24 17:18

hear 8:25 hearing 1:14 3:14 40:6 **HELD** 1:14 her 22:14 26:8 38:5 Herbert 1:10 2:3 hereto 41:12 he'll 30:9 higher 25:16 28:2 him 22:9 hold 29:14,16 holding 27:18 30:2 holds 14:8,19 17:3,13 21:1,10,22 **Honor** 3:8,12 4:5,9,21 7:7 8:2 9:7 11:22,25 12:23 13:1,22 14:9,16 14:25 15:8 16:6,24 17:17,23 18:5,14 19:6 19:12 20:10,24 21:11 21:24 22:3 26:16 28:14,24 29:15,17 30:15 31:4,5 32:14 34:10 37:14,22 39:3 39:22 Honors 11:9,13 Honor's 30:22 35:11 hope 23:18,19 hopeless 34:14

house 22:8

houses 22:18

impress 29:19 improper 9:6 improperly 9:19,22 included 22:20 including 21:15 individually 19:25 20:3 22:9 23:4 instance 4:11 6:22 interest 4:22 5:19 7:19 9:15,16 10:19 16:25 20:20 21:15 25:6,17 30:3 33:24 35:20 interested 27:8,13 interests 9:18 10:18 18:17 21:13 23:17 interfere 26:17 interfering 28:23 investors 13:9 involve 26:4 irrelevant 7:10 issue 8:15 9:2 17:24 22:7 31:1 issued 31:5 issues 12:9 22:16 26:14

14:19 17:5,14 19:13

34:22 35:13 36:8,15

19:16 22:20,23,25

27:7 29:20 33:25

forget 22:24

fraud 18:21

found 9:20 38:24

James 12:14,17
Jenkins 1:24 41:8,21
Judge 1:15,16,16 3:1,5
3:9,13,23,25 4:2,6,15
4:17 23 5:1 5 8 12 18
4:17,23 5:1,5,8,12,18 5:21,25 6:5,7,10,16
6:18,23 7:1,5,10,12
7:18,21,24 8:6,10,13
8:16,18,21,25 9:10
10:3,7,21,23 11:4,5,8
11:15,19,24 12:1,5,12
12:17,21,24 13:5,8,11
13:14,20,24 14:2,4,7
14:11,14,18,23 15:1,4
15:7,12,16,20,23 16:1
16:4,9,13,16,18,22
17:3,8,11,19 18:1,6,9
18:15,22 19:1,8,11,17
19:21,24 20:2,7,14,19
20:22,25 21:3,6,9,14
21:21,25 22:2,8,13,24
23:3,3,6,11,13,15,19
23:21,24 24:4,6,13,15
24:16,18,18,23,24
25:3,19,25 26:3,7,9
26:13,19,24 27:3,5,13
27.15 25 29.4 0 11 15
27:15,25 28:4,9,11,15 28:19 29:1,7,10,12,14
20:19 29:1,7,10,12,14
29:16,18 30:7,11,14
30:16,18 31:2,6,9,11 31:16,17,21 32:1,4,6
31:10,17,21 32:1,4,0
32:9,11,11,13,17,19
32:23,25 33:7,8,18,20
33:22 34:2,3,3,4,6,8,8
34:11,13,14,16,18,20
35:1,6,8,12,16,21
36:4,5,20,24 37:7,10
37:11,19,23 38:1,12
38:16,20,25 39:4,5,7
39:11,14,17,20,23
40:1
Judge's 24:21 32:23
34:17
just 7:21 9:11 17:17,23
20:9 22:3,4,16 23:20

K

27:8 28:5 29:19

39:23

31:12 34:10,17 35:3,8

35:8 36:18 38:1,4,14

Kegerreis 3:3,4,4,5,8
3:11,21,24 4:4,9,16
4:21,24 5:4,6,9,16,20
5:22 6:2,6,8,13,17,21
6:24 7:4,6,11,16,19
7:23 8:1,8,11,14,17
8:20,24 9:2,13 10:6

10:10,22,25 11:7 23:8 37:14,21,25 38:3,7,14 38:18 39:9,12,15,19 39:22,24 **KEGERRIES** 2:3 kinds 26:14 know 4:6 9:7 11:15,16

31:10 36:6 37:4,23 **knowledge** 41:14

21:6 26:3 29:5 30:9

L 1:24 2:3 41:8,21 Lare 2:8 11:12 Large 41:10,22 last 28:12 later 27:23 law 3:10,17,18 4:17,19 4:19 5:2,6,7 8:5 9:8 9:12 10:8 11:2 13:3 13:13 14:10 18:11,11 18:20,23 19:2,9,11,14 22:17,20,22,23,25,25 23:6 24:1 25:22,23 26:14 28:16,22 29:23 29:23,25 30:2,2,6 31:2,13 35:20 laws 9:6 lawyer 18:3 26:4

lawyer 18:3 26:4 leave 3:19 15:1 33:5 legal 4:2,22 7:19 9:15 31:13 let 38:19 lifted 6:1 like 15:5,17 30:25 37:14,22 limitations 28:21

listed 19:4 22:19 listen 20:17 litigate 16:19

litigating 39:7 little 11:13 13:2 14:17

27:24 LLCs 24:8

long 27:6 look 11:23 loser 36:18

lost 36:17

lot 23:25 35:12 Lubetsky 2:10 30:20

30:21 31:4,7,10,12,19 31:22 32:2,7,10,12,14 32:18,22 33:1,11,19 33:21,23 34:7,16,21 35:3,10,15,18,22

36:12,22,25 37:9,13 **Lubetsky's** 30:1

M

made 3:14 7:12 9:21 38:24 Madeleine 2:5 11:10 make 6:25 7:8 10:23 23:9 31:12 33:13 35:9 37:14 makes 35:17 making 38:20 MARTIN 1:16 27:3,5 27:15,25 28:4 30:7

36:5,20,24 37:7,10 matter 4:14,17 12:10 19:9,11 24:24 32:20 38:13

matters 34:14 39:16 may 11:25 13:1 16:6 17:23 18:16 19:12 30:20 31:8 32:12 37:7

mean 5:12 21:3 26:1 27:8 28:4 33:12 36:6 meaning 10:13

means 16:18 21:17 memorandum 17:20 29:10 30:12,19 38:5

mere 13:4 Miami 1:19

MIAMI-DADE 41:6

Michelle 2:7 11:11 might 39:20

million 13:4,10 36:1,13 36:17,18,18 mind 18:10 33:8

minute 33:9 misapplied 9:3

money 27:10,24 30:2

35:19 36:14 more 23:9

morning 11:9 most 10:13

motion 4:13 moved 6:14

much 15:4 27:23 39:25 must 33:4

myself 4:1 14:15

N

name 3:18 4:19,25 5:2 9:12 10:8 15:9 18:23 19:2 22:6,17,20 23:1 24:2,3 25:9,23 30:21 31:3 names 24:7

NE 1:18 necessarily 36:4 need 11:6 27:10 negative 33:2 never 6:1 11:2 New 33:9 nobody 3:19 nominees 10:9 none 37:6 nonetheless 31:22 normal 20:10 Northern 34:24 35:4 Notary 41:9,22 notes 3:7 12:14 nothing 4:1 13:12

22:22 26:9 0 observation 35:9,11 obviously 11:13 occurred 11:2 occurrence 31:15 off 12:13 14:24 17:17 offenses 13:23 office 18:3,4 27:7 32:21 offset 36:10 37:1,2 offsets 36:16,16 Oh 26:19,23 27:4 okay 3:13,23,25 5:21 8:10 15:7 22:2,13 23:20,21 26:24 27:4 29:18 37:10,25 38:3 39:11 once 33:2 one 8:21 19:20 21:3 27:6 30:23 33:2 37:8 37:24 39:23 only 5:17 7:16 10:17 17:25 22:5,19 30:25 36:17 opinion 31:5,7,13 33:15

order 5:14 8:7,9 12:9 24:21 36:15 orders 8:2 19:4 22:10 ordinary 19:22 original 4:1 other 4:20 19:15 22:6

opportunity 37:24 39:1

33:22

opposing 22:14

oranges 14:22

22:22 23:10 30:18,24 36:5 others 3:2 31:24

otherwise 24:12 ought 26:4 37:8 out 33:6 34:14 38:24 over 32:9 34:4 38:4 overcome 10:5 own 5:18,18

owns 23:4,6

P

Page 41:12 pale 35:17 part 3:18 7:13,22 8:12 25:10 30:24 32:7 33:13 participate 34:1

parties 3:7 27:17 partner 20:5 partnership 20:7 party 16:25 26:17 pay 37:3

pay 37:3 paying 27:20 people 21:15 period 23:17

person 37:8 personam 5:14 14:19 17:5,14 20:17,17,18 20:19

Petitioner/Appellant 1:12

Pharmacy 10:4 phonetic 19:18 31:8 34:22

place 13:4 17:15 41:11 placed 31:14

Plaintiff/Appellee 1:5 please 15:6 30:20 point 8:21 27:7 36:7 points 37:15

polits 37:13 police 15:14,17,18,25 16:5,7 37:18 38:7 Ponzi 25:15

Pools 10:11 position 9:10 11:16 15:13 16:7 17:25 21:11,19 22:4 24:10

25:16 26:2 27:9 28:2 33:3,4 36:6,7,11,13 36:25 37:12 39:9

power 16:5 38:7 39:5 powers 15:14,17,18,25 16:8 37:18

presented 4:10 presumed 5:7 presumption 5:1 10:4,7 prior 6:8 priorities 38:10

priority 28:5 probably 10:5 12:14 18:6 33:9

problem 5:13 13:14 18:2 28:22 31:17 32:20

problems 28:21 procedure 6:8,10 procedures 7:5 proceed 20:16

proceeding 3:16 12:2 12:25 13:15,19 16:20
16:21,23 17:5,14 25:
25:7,18 26:18 34:1
36:9 41:15
proceedings 41:10
proceeds 13:23 14:3
15:24 21:23 27:17
29:21
process 16:23,25 27:20
29:20
proper 6:10
properly 18:18
properties 9:11,13,17
22:6,15
property 5:10 7:8 8:3
10:2,12,16 14:12
31:14,24 32:2,5,18
33:25
proposition 3:22 4:5
14:17 15:9
prosecution 19:18
prosecutor's 32:21
province 32:24
provision 17:6
Public 41:9,22
purchased 9:19 38:22
purchasers 27:19
purposes 22:23
pursue 9:23
put 17:19 30:6 38:5
40:1
puzzled 22:13
P.A 1:11

qualified 27:18 question 5:23 6:7 22:14 26:19 27:5 32:8 33:2 35:23 39:6 questions 5:23 27:1 30:22 quote 31:8

R

R 2:5					
rather 36:2					
Ray 23:13					
Re 10:3 12:14,17 33:16					
reach 9:4					
reached 5:17 10:11,17					
reaches 9:14					
read 33:20,22					
real 9:17					
really 26:3,5,7					
reason 6:23 7:1 37:7					
rebuttal 11:6					
recently 33:13					

recognized 9:7 recognizes 32:6 record 41:13 recording 41:14 recover 29:22 refer 4:14 refused 9:22 regulatory 16:8 rejected 8:17 relied 34:22,23 relief 6:13,15 relieves 17:12 rely 27:2 34:17 rem 12:25 remains 31:14 remedy 33:23 remove 15:15,22,24 25:8 removed 22:23 removing 27:16,16 **Reporter** 41:9,22 represent 30:21 resisting 8:22 resolution 4:16 resounding 5:24 respect 19:13 21:23 22:4 25:6 response 37:16 rest 39:24 restitution 27:20 restoration 27:20 return 28:16 reversed 35:7 reversible 6:20 review 34:9 reviewable 32:24 reviewing 26:20 34:11 **RICO 25:15** right 4:23 5:8 6:24 19:19,21 20:6 21:7 22:12 23:4,5,21,23 24:4 25:25 27:11 31:16,19 32:4 33:10 33:23 36:24 37:10 rights 13:16,18,21 16:23,25 18:15 23:16 Room 1:19 Rosenfeldt 1:10 33:16 34:18 Rothstein 1:7,10 3:2 5:14 7:7 9:5 10:18 19:14,25 22:11 25:14 27:17 30:6 33:16 34.18 Rothstein's 5:17 10:18 13:23 22:6 24:3 roughshod 34:4 RPR 1:24 41:8,21

RRA 4:25 5:7 10:14,19
13:2 15:10 22:6 25:9
25:13 27:23,24 28:8
30:24
RRA's 4:24 7:11 9:19
28:8 38:23
rule 39:1
running 34:4

S
sacronal 19:17
same 10:10 30:1
saying 10:12
says 20:15 23:15 24:13
31:13
sashame 25:15

sacronal 19:17 same 10:10 30:1 saying 10:12 says 20:15 23:15 24:13 scheme 25:15 Scott 1:7 7:7 seated 18:6 Section 9:14 secured 29:23 30:5 seek 33:5 seen 35:12 sense 21:4 sent 3:6 sentence 7:14 serious 34:6 38:4 settlement 7:2 seven 15:6 30:14 several 39:18 shadow 32:19 shareholder 10:19 SHARON 2:3 Sheehan 2:6 11:11 she'll 38:5 **Shirley** 2:5 11:8,9,10 11:18,22,25 12:4,11 12:13,19,23 13:1,6,9 13:12,17,22,25 14:3,5 14:9,12,16,21,24 15:3 15:6,8,13,19,22,24 16:3,6,11,15,17,21,24 17:6,10,17,21 18:5,8 18:14,16,25 19:6,10 19:12,19,22 20:1,6,9 20:18,21,24 21:2,5,8 21:11,19,23 22:1,3,12 22:19 23:2,5,8,18,23 24:3,5,8,17,22 25:1,4 25:24 26:1,6,8,11,16 26:23,25 27:4,12,16 28:1,7,10,14,18,24 29:5,9,11,13,15,17,19 30:9,13,15,17 38:6 shocked 11:15

SINGERMAN 2:2 sister 38:4 sitting 28:3 29:21 situation 34:15 38:8 Skanlion 31:7 small 18:20 29:24 snowballs 39:20 Snyder 2:10 30:21 softballs 40:1 sole 33:23 some 36:7 somebody 23:19 someone 14:1 something 26:20 28:16 sorry 8:20 24:1 27:4 sort 3:6 sorts 22:18 24:6 Southern 35:4 speak 3:25 14:14,14 stand 25:16 state 12:20 15:18 26:14 41:5,9,22 stated 33:15 41:11 statement 7:22 33:21 States 1:1,4,18 2:8 3:1 11:10 15:25 23:15 24:20 26:20 39:7 statute 17:12 28:21 stay 5:11,25 6:3,17 8:7 8:9 12:7 15:10 16:10 16:13,15,16 17:4,4,6 17:7,9,13,15,16 20:12 23:14 24:11 25:20,20 33:5 39:13 Stettin 1:10 2:3 still 26:1 28:24 36:6,11 36:22 stop 25:7 stopping 25:20 story 37:2 straighten 34:13 Street 1:18 subject 10:1,16 15:10 33:25 35:25 submit 30:12,19 sue 3:19 19:24,25 supplement 33:14 suppose 5:12 **Supreme** 4:18 10:11

SUSÁN 1:16 system 38:10 T table 18:7 23:20 take 11:13,19 15:13 22:21,22 26:1 28:18

sure 18:18 31:12

surely 26:21

36:25 39:23 talking 12:21 18:22 22:10,15,16,17 23:11 23:12 25:19,20,22 30:7 talks 14:10 team 11:11 tell 9:1 28:20 33:6 35:13 terms 9:8 38:9 Thank 11:4,7 15:3 22:1 30:15 37:13 39:22,25 their 10:14 18:15 25:17 theoretical 5:13 theory 25:10 thing 18:9 22:19 30:1 things 4:20 17:12 22:5 22:6,18,22 23:25 24:9 think 11:22 14:9 17:23 21:5,6 26:3 30:11 35:11 37:11 third 27:17 Third-Party 1:12 though 18:17 20:10 thought 4:1 22:15 34:10 three 37:5,15 through 17:1 20:23 24:11 27:19,20 29:20 **Throw** 40:1 thrown 39:17 time 11:5,6 15:4 27:6 times 19:25 title 31:13 39:8 titled 4:25 22:5 **TJOFLAT** 1:15 3:1,5,9 3:13,23,25 4:6,15,17 4:23 5:1,5,8,12,18,21 5:25 6:5,7,10,16,18 6:23 7:1,5,10,12,18 7:21,24 8:6,10,13,16 8:18,21,25 10:3,7,21 10:23 11:4,8,15,19,24 12:1,5,12,17,21,24 13:5,8,11,14,20,24 14:2,4,7,11,14,18,23 15:1,4,7,12,16,20,23 16:1,4,9,13,16,18,22 17:3,8,11,19 18:1,6,9 18:15,22 19:1,8,11,24 20:2,7,14,19,22,25 21:3,6,9,14,21,25 22:2,8,24 23:3,6,11 23:19 24:13,18,24 25:3,25 26:3,7,9,13

26:19,24 28:9,11,15

28:19 29:1,7,10,12,14

29:16,18 30:11,14,16

Shorthand 41:8

side 30:18

since 27:6

simply 38:8

30:18 31:2,6,9,11,16	***	work 26:10	432 33:17	
31:21 32:1,4,9,11,13	V	world 4:7 13:15 26:11	432 33.17	
•	value 27:19	26:13	5	
32:17,19,23 33:7,18	vehicle 7:2 25:13		541 9:14	
33:20,22 34:2,8,13,20	vehicles 9:18	worried 35:6		
35:1,8,12,16,21 36:4	Vending 34:23	wouldn't 3:14 6:19	541(a) 4:22 38:21	
37:11,19,23 38:1,12	verbatim 23:20	write 29:8	6	
38:16 39:4,11,14,17	versus 3:1 34:22	written 9:8 23:20		
39:20,23 40:1	very 18:9,20 27:8,24	wrong 29:1,2,4 38:11	6 1:20	
Todd 2:10 30:21	29:24 33:13 37:15	wrongdoers 15:25	6th 34:23 35:3	
told 27:13	38:18,18 39:25	T 7		
top 12:13 14:24 17:17	vessels 9:18	Y	8	
transcribed 1:23 41:11	vesting 14:12	Yea 6:18	853 25:5	
transcription 41:13	victim 28:12	Yeah 5:5	853(k) 26:17	
tried 8:11 23:9	victims 27:10,21,22	year 13:3	853(n) 7:2 16:24 20:23	
triggered 17:5	28:2,11,21 29:21	years 37:5	23:16 25:17 39:8	
true 8:24,24 26:12	view 6:20	yesterday 3:7		
41:13	violated 39:12	York 33:9	9	
truly 29:24	violation 8:6,8		9:00 1:20	
trust 9:17,22 23:10,24	violations 25:15	Z	920461 41:23	
24:11,14,19,23 25:13	void 8:4	zero 4:1 37:4	99 1:18	
25:21 30:2,4,8 31:1	vs 1:6			
32:15 35:19,19 38:19	VS 1.0	\$		
38:21,24 39:1	W	\$1.9 36:17,18		
trustee 1:10 2:3 4:9	W 1:7	\$10 36:1		
8:14 9:20,24 10:15	wait 13:8,8	\$12 13:4		
16:18 25:4 33:24	want 13.8,8 want 8:18 9:1 29:19	\$2.16 36:13,18		
38:24	31:12	\$213,000 36:14		
trustee's 8:23 24:9		\$724 13:10		
try 16:3 21:2 30:5	wanted 6:13 7:13 30:22	4 ,2113113	1	
trying 20:9 25:8,17	38:14	0		
turns 32:9	wasn't 4:7	0479 33:19	1	
two 5:23 32:7	way 40:2	0177 33.17		
types 24:9	WEINER 34:10	1		
5 pes =	well 3:13 4:15 6:5 9:2	1 41:12		
U	9:25 10:21,25 11:18	1.9 36:23		
under 4:21 8:4 9:6 15:9	11:22,24 17:19,22	10 37:3		
15:11,14 16:4,7,7	24:17 25:8 26:15	10th 41:16		
19:6 23:15 25:5,10,13	27:9 28:24 29:7	11 1:10 2:3 3:16 12:1		_
26:17 31:13 37:17,18	30:18 33:7 34:10	11th 4:19 35:1		
38:20 39:2,7	35:8 36:4,5 37:21	11-10676-B 1:3	6	
understand 7:18 8:13	39:21	1212 1:19	lo d	
8:21 13:5,11 20:20	went 11:23 14:6 21:20	157 39:2	4	
24:16 31:6 32:1,22,25	were 4:20,25 5:10 8:6,8	20137.2		
34:2 35:10,21 37:11	9:12,16,17,18 10:1	2		
39:4	15:10 22:15,20 23:25	2009 13:3		
understanding 25:24	24:1,6 25:7,9,9,22	2012 1:20 33:16 41:17		
unfortunately 35:25	30:24 34:5 38:22	2012 1.20 33.10 41.17 2013 41:23		
unilateral 7:22	41:11	2013 41.23 21 39:8		
unilaterally 23:16	WestLaw 33:16	27 41:23		
United 1:1,4,18 2:8 3:1	we'll 11:6 15:1 24:14	£1 71.43		
11:10 15:25 23:15	39:24	3	1 (1)	
24:20 26:20 39:6	we're 12:21 22:9,16	33132 1:19		
Unless 27:1	23:11,12 25:19,20,21	1	4	
until 31:15	25:21 27:13 36:18	362(b)(1) 19:16		
unusual 13:2	we've 27:12 30:11	362(b)(4) 15:11 16:7		17
Urbanback 34:22	33:20 36:22 39:17	37:17	l I	
used 25:14	whatsoever 7:2	4	Î	
U.S 18:4 27:7 34:22	Whiting 10:11		1	
0.0 10.4 27.7 34.22	WITNESS 41:16	4th 1:18 12:15	l i	
1		1	t .	